

THERESE M. STEWART, State Bar # 104930  
Chief Deputy City Attorney  
WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137  
JONATHAN GIVNER, State Bar #208000  
TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar #231775  
Deputy City Attorneys  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102-4682  
Telephone: (415) 554-4694  
Facsimile: (415) 554-4699  
E-Mail: [jon.givner@sfgov.org](mailto:jon.givner@sfgov.org)

## Attorneys for Defendants

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
FREDERICK K. LOWELL, State Bar #66641  
BRUCE A. ERICSON, State Bar #76342  
[bruce.erickson@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:bruce.erickson@pillsburylaw.com)  
ANITA D. STEARNS MAYO, State Bar #142749  
MARC H. AXELBAUM, State Bar #209855  
[marc.axelbaum@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:marc.axelbaum@pillsburylaw.com)  
50 Fremont Street  
Post Office Box 7880  
San Francisco, CA 94120-7880  
Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COMMITTEE ON JOBS CANDIDATE  
ADVOCACY FUND, et al.,

Case No. C07-3199 JSW

**JOINT STATUS REPORT;  
[PROPOSED] ORDER**

## Plaintiffs,

VS.

DENNIS J. HERRERA, in his official capacity as City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, et al.

### Defendants.

1                   JOINT STATUS REPORT

2                   Pursuant to the Court's order dated May 12, 2011 (Dkt. 72), Plaintiffs Committee on JOBS  
3 Candidate Advocacy Fund and Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco  
4 Independent Expenditure PAC (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Dennis J. Herrera, George  
5 Gascon, the Ethics Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and the City and County of  
6 San Francisco (collectively, "Defendants"), jointly file this Status Report.

7                   In this case, Plaintiffs contend that Sections 1.114(c)(1) and 1.114(c)(2) of the San Francisco  
8 Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance, codified in the San Francisco Campaign and Governmental  
9 Conduct Code, and Regulation 1.114-2 of the Regulations to the CFRO, violate the First Amendment  
10 to the United States Constitution by imposing limits on contributions to political committees for the  
11 purpose of making independent expenditures. The Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary  
12 injunction on September 20, 2007 (Dkt. 37), and that order has remained in effect since then pursuant  
13 to a series of stipulated orders. At the parties' request, the Court stayed the litigation while the Ninth  
14 Circuit Court of Appeals considered a case presenting similar issues, and while the parties explored  
15 settlement.

16                  On May 11, 2011, the parties informed the Court that the parties have executed a written  
17 Settlement Agreement and Proposed Form of Permanent Injunction, but the agreement will not  
18 become binding unless and until it has been considered and approved by the City and County of San  
19 Francisco's Board of Supervisors (the "Board") and Mayor. Dkt. 71. In its Order on May 12, 2011,  
20 the Court instructed the parties to file a joint status report by July 11, 2011 if the Board and the  
21 Mayor had not yet approved the settlement. Dkt. 72.

22                  On June 28, 2011, the Board preliminarily approved the settlement agreement by a 11-0 vote.  
23 Under the City's Charter, the Board must take a second, final vote on the settlement agreement before  
24 forwarding it to the Mayor for his consideration. *See* S.F. Charter § 2.105. Counsel anticipates that  
25 the Board will take that second vote at its next meeting on July 12, 2011. If the Board approves the  
26 agreement at that meeting, the Mayor will have ten days to consider the settlement agreement, and the  
27 agreement will become effective unless the Mayor vetoes it during that ten-day period. *See* S.F.  
28 Charter § 3.103.

If the Board and the Mayor finally approve the Settlement Agreement, the parties will submit the Proposed Form of Permanent Injunction for the Court's consideration by no later than July 29, 2011. If the Board or the Mayor does not approve the Settlement Agreement, the parties will submit a joint status report by no later than July 29, 2011, informing the Court of what they propose to do in light of that decision.

Dated: July 7, 2011

THERESE M. STEWART  
Chief Deputy City Attorney  
WAYNE SNODGRASS  
JONATHAN GIVNER  
TARA M. STEELEY  
Deputy City Attorneys

By: /s/ Jonathan Givner  
JONATHAN GIVNER  
Attorneys for Defendants

Dated: July 7, 2011

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
FREDERICK K. LOWELL  
BRUCE A. ERICSON  
ANITA D. STEARNS MAYO  
MARC H. AXELBAUM

\*By: /s/ Marc H. Axelbaum  
MARC H. AXELBAUM  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

\*Pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has obtained approval from this signatory.

1                   **[PROPOSED] ORDER**


2                   Pursuant to the agreement of the parties set forth in the foregoing Joint Status Report, and  
3 good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that by no later than July 29, 2011, the parties  
4 shall either submit a proposed form of permanent injunction for the Court's consideration pursuant to  
5 a final, executed settlement agreement, or if the Board of Supervisors or Mayor does not approve the  
6 agreement, submit a joint status report stating what the parties propose to do in light of that decision.

7

8                   **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9

10                  Dated: July 7, 2011

11                    
12                  Hon. Jeffrey S. White  
United States District Judge